

### **Urgent Appeal to the Rural Caucus on Telecommunications:**

I appreciate the opportunity to be heard by the Rural Caucus on Telecommunications issues. I am the President and CEO of Superior Spectrum, Inc. a Competitive Local Exchange Carrier (CLEC) based in Marquette, Michigan. Due to the cost, complexity and time involved with traveling to Washington D.C. from the rural Upper Peninsula of Michigan, I am submitting my comments in writing for your consideration.

Small companies in general and small companies from rural areas in particular are at an extreme disadvantage in the federal political process. We do not have the financial or human resources to trumpet our message effectively and are easily overwhelmed by the billion dollar corporations that have mastered the process. Therefore, it is imperative that we receive government protection from the anticompetitive actions of the Incumbent Regional Bell Operating Companies (RBOCs). Please treat this document as though you are hearing it from five hundred different sources over a period of two weeks so that it matches the impact of the United States Telephone Association (USTA) money machine.

I am aware that a primary topic of the Caucus is how to craft new telecom legislation. However, I submit to you that the first order of priority should be a thorough review of the current Telecommunications Act of 1996 (FTA) and the apparent disdain for which it is being treated by the FCC Chairman, Michael Powell, and the RBOCs. The FCC, as a result of a massive public relations and legal campaign by the RBOCs, is attempting to take away CLEC access to facilities that are clearly essential to our ability to compete. Without continued access to the Essential Facilities of switching and the UNE-P platform (UNE-P was legally upheld as a legitimate method of carrying out the 1996 FTA by the Supreme Court) wire line competition in rural America will be dead and gone within 14 months. When that happens, many of the companies currently fostering innovation and competition in telecommunications will be gone as well.

The RBOCs have a long history of thwarting innovation and competition. They are now on the verge of destroying the entire CLEC industry. Please act now to restore the vision and intent of the 1996 FTA.

### **What Happened to the Telecommunications Act of 1996?**

**Opening local monopolies to competition in exchange for long distance entry was the keystone of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.** Government leaders must not forget this fundamental aspect of the entire issue. Recall that prior to 1996 the RBOCs were not allowed to serve the Interstate long distance market as a result of the 1984 breakup of AT&T. The 1996 FTA gave them a road map to long distance entry, which included the primary requirement that the RBOCs open their local monopolies to competition. Remember, the RBOCs asked for this deal and backed the passage of the 1996 FTA.

For several years, until about 2000, the RBOCs dragged their collective feet. Finally, UNE-P emerged as a platform to make local competition a reality. The competition fostered through UNE-P allowed the RBOCs to begin meeting the conditions set forth to gain long distance entry. Fast forward to late 2003; with UNE-P spurring local competition, the RBOCs met the conditions required to enter the interstate long distance market in most states. Concurrently, a major shift in RBOC tactics emerged. The RBOCs, through their trade association USTA, became significantly more aggressive in their campaign to overturn rules fostering local service

competition. Eliminating UNE-P, by eliminating their requirement to provide access to switching, has been the focus of their massive effort. The sole intent of their actions has been to cripple the competitor's ability to compete after they got what they wanted. Due to low customer density in rural America, no reasonable alternatives to the RBOCs' Essential Facilities of switching exist. Therefore, by eliminating access to the Essential Facility of switching, the RBOCs are effectively eliminating competition and its many benefits from their local service territories. This month the FCC, under Chairman Michael Powell's explicit direction, is poised to implement rules that will nail the coffin shut on local competition and laugh in the face of those who crafted and passed the 1996 FTA. Further the FCC and the RBOCs are apparently ignoring the enormous anti-trust liability they are creating through their actions. If UNE-P is eliminated, then the FCC has the obligation to once again prohibit the RBOCs from offering interstate long distance and begin the approval process over.

### **FCC About to Make a Major Policy Blunder Regarding Essential Facilities:**

The FCC is about to eliminate access to the Essential Facility of switching. Americans in general and rural Americans in particular will suffer negative consequences once the new rules are implemented and UNE-P is eliminated. While not on the scale of the Social Security issues or the war in Iraq, make no mistake that millions of Americans will soon experience a negative financial impact on their telephone bills. Perhaps more importantly, innovation will slow as competitors, faced with no reasonable alternatives to RBOC switching, are financially crippled and their business models destroyed.

### **Competition in Rural America will Die without Access to Essential Facilities:**

Rural America stands to be particularly hard hit. The Essential Facilities Doctrine (EFD) guidelines have evolved to include four essential criteria. With the looming elimination of UNE-P, the wire line facilities in rural America are a text book case of a monopoly company withholding access to an Essential Facility to cripple the competition. The lack of reasonable alternatives in the rural telephone market, coupled with the monopoly RBOCs' refusal to deal with CLECs, clearly has anti-trust activity written all over it. Small businesses do not have the resources to fight this cause, which is why we need government protection. Since Essential Facilities in rural areas cannot be reasonably or practically duplicated, rural consumers and the rural companies serving these customers will have no reasonable competitive alternative to UNE-P. The result: wire line competition and the benefits competition has brought, will be eliminated from rural America.

### **Telephone Monopolies Are Reemerging:**

I am sure you have seen the recent headlines that SBC is in talks to merge with AT&T. Twenty years after the historic breakup of AT&T, the RBOCs are reemerging as dominant monopolies. Only this time they are burdened with far less regulation than prior to 1984. How does this serve the best interests of the American consumer? The answer is simply that it does not. Consumers are just beginning to realize the benefits of competition (innovation, lower rates and better service) due to UNE-P making local telephone competition a reality. Now that the RBOCs have attained their coveted goal of entry into the long distance market, they are making crafty legal maneuvers that threaten to pull the plug on local service competition. Without the Essential Facilities made available through UNE-P, CLECs will be left with no viable alternative to serve their customers. In 14 months, the monopoly will be handed back to the RBOCs in rural America and the consumer will suffer.

**Essential Facilities Require Continuing Supervision by Government Authorities:**

The misguided FCC Chairman is pushing for competitors to enter into private commercial agreements with the RBOC monopolies - a ludicrous idea that would not even deserve discussion except that Chairman Powell is promoting the idea as good policy. The FCC has declared the Essential Facility of monopoly switching off limits and yet they expect that CLECs can negotiate a commercial agreement for other Essential Facilities, potentially including switching, just because legal access had been granted! When Essential Facilities are involved, simply declaring access must be made available is not enough. The EFD requires continuing government supervision of the terms under which access shall be available, including price and performance. The RBOCs are making a mockery out of government regulation and they are thumbing their noses at American antitrust laws.

**Conclusion:**

Local service competition and its benefits are on the verge of being eliminated, particularly in rural areas. In order to preserve competition and innovation in telecommunications services, immediate government action to stop the new FCC rules from being implemented is required. CLECs, particularly CLECs in rural areas, need to be granted continued access to Essential Facilities in order to compete with incumbent RBOC monopolies. The RBOCs' removal of CLEC access to facilities that are so clearly essential to our ability to compete is a textbook case of antitrust action. However, the ability of a small company or even a group of small companies to take on the daunting task of an antitrust lawsuit against the billion dollar monopoly RBOCs is virtually nonexistent. The appropriate government authorities must take an aggressive stance against the elimination of CLEC access to Essential Facilities. Millions of voting Americans are counting on you to protect their best interests by fostering healthy competition in the telecommunications marketplace. Please act now to preserve competition and its many benefits for the American consumer!

Sincerely,

David A. Puskala  
President and CEO  
Superior Spectrum, Inc.  
Marquette, Michigan

FC: Congressional Rural Caucus  
House Committee on Energy and Commerce: Subcommittee on Telecommunications  
House Committee on the Judiciary  
Senate Judiciary: Subcommittee on Antitrust Competition and Consumer Rights  
Senate Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship  
House Committee on Small Business: Subcommittee on Rural Enterprises  
EC: FCC Commissioners